

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 10/605,348 | WILLIAMS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | James M. Hewitt | 3679 | |

All Participants:

(1) James M. Hewitt.

(2) Leonard Lewis.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2008

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

37 and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

Lennon et al (US 3,103,373)

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's representative agreed to amend claims 37 and 45 as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to better distinguish the claims from Lennon et al ('373) and place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)